



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,424	06/06/2007	Jeroen Adrianus Johannes Thijs	2003P02902WOUS	6445
24737	7590	11/22/2011	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			JAMA, ISAAK R	
P.O. BOX 3001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			2617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/22/2011	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

vera.kublanov@philips.com  
debbie.henn@philips.com  
marianne.fox@philips.com



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS  
P. O. Box 3001  
BRIARCLIFF MANOR NY 10510

In re Application of:  
THIJS, JEROEN ADRIANUS JOHANNES et al  
Application Serial No.: 10/596,424  
Filed: June 13, 2006  
**For: EMERGENCY RESPONSE DEVICE FOR  
SUMMONING A RESPONDER AND ROUTING  
SAID RESPONDER TO A VICTIM**

DECISION  
ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition requesting the withdrawal of the final action, filed April 01, 2010, which is treated as a petition pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.181.

Petitioner alleges that the examiner erred in holding the Office action mailed February 01, 2010 final and requests withdrawal of finality of the Office action.

**PERTINENT BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

A non-final Office action was mailed on July 07, 2009 rejecting claims 2-4, 6-11, and 13-20.

Applicant filed an amendment and request for reconsideration on October 10, 2010 wherein claims 3, 4, 8-10, 14-17, 19, and 20 were amended. Claims 1, 2, 5, and 12 were cancelled. Claims 21-23 were added.

On February 01, 2010, the Examiner issued a Final Office action rejecting claims 3, 4, 6-11, and 13-23

On April 01, 2010, a petition requesting the examiner to withdraw the final action was filed.

On June 30, 2010, a non-final office action was mailed. The non-final office action indicated it was made in response to applicant's request for reconsideration of the final office action.

**DECISION**

The issue of whether or not the examiner properly made final the office action on February 01, 2010 became moot with examiner mailing a non-final office action on June 30, 2010.

For the reasons set forth above, the petition to withdraw finality is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

An Pre-Appeal Brief Review Decision has since been mailed on September 29, 2011. The decision was made to reopen prosecution. The application has been forwarded to the examiner for appropriate action responsive to the Pre-Appeal Brief review Decision mailed September 29, 2011.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-3068.

/Michael Horabik/

---

Michael Horabik  
Quality Assurance Specialist  
Technology Center 2600  
Communications